Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/706,405	SHINOKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: After Restriction		
(1) <u>Jeanine A Goldberg</u> .	(3)	
(2) Jules Goldberg.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 May 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1, 3-10  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Q Boldberg		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that following an extensive search she believed that the claimed subject matter was allowable, however, had a few 112/2nd concerns. The examiner proposed amendments to Claim 1 which would be allowable and faxed the claims to applicant. On May 28, 2003, applicant left a voice message for the examiner indicating that the amendments were acceptable to the client and requested an examiner's amendment be prepared.